Mortgage-Backed Securities

Andreas Fuster David Lucca James Vickery

#### Handbook of Financial Markets conference November 1 2021

The views expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, or the Federal Reserve System. In compliance with FOMC Policy on External Communications during blackout periods, during this presentation the author will refrain from expressing views or providing analysis to members of the public about current and prospective monetary policy issues.

# Introduction: Mortgage-backed securities (MBS)

- MBS market is one of the largest and most liquid global fixed income markets
  - $\rightarrow\,$  US: \$11tr in bonds,  $\approx$  \$250-300bn in daily average trading volume
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Mortgage securitization and covered bonds also popular internationally
- Key source of financing for real estate
  - $\rightarrow~$  65% of US home mortgages securitized into MBS
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Securitization has variety of effects: credit supply, home prices, financial stability etc.
- This chapter: Review of MBS, with emphasis on the US agency MBS market
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Stylized facts and statistics on market size, growth, liquidity etc.
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Highlight insights from growing body of academic research

- 1. The MBS universe
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Institutional setting + time-series and cross-sectional facts
- 2. Security design
- 3. Risks and asset pricing
- 4. Trading
- 5. Economic effects of mortgage securitization
- 6. Open questions and future research

- 1. The MBS universe
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Institutional setting + time-series and cross-sectional facts
- 2. Security design
- 3. Risks and asset pricing
- 4. Trading
- 5. Economic effects of mortgage securitization
- 6. Open questions and future research

## The MBS universe

- MBS = bonds with cash flows tied to payments on underlying mortgage pool
  - $\rightarrow\,$  May be residential or commercial mortgages, although we focus on RMBS
  - $\rightarrow$  Includes **pass-through** and **structured** MBS ("collateralized mortgage obligations")
- Key distinction: agency vs nonagency
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Agency MBS: credit guarantee from Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Nonagency MBS: investors bear credit risk, MBS tranched by seniority
- Competition between government & private securitization is key market dynamic
  - ightarrow See Adelino, McCartney and Schoar (2020) for evidence
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Key drivers: (i) market price of credit risk, (ii) regulation

# Stock of US residential MBS



Data sources: FAUS, BLS.

## The cross-section of agency MBS pools

- Snapshot based on eMBS security-level data:
  - $\rightarrow$  \$7.7tr in MBS pools [\$3.3tr Fannie; \$2.4tr Freddie; \$2.0tr Ginnie]
  - ightarrow 84% of underlying loans are 30-year fixed-rate mortgages (FRMs)
- Substantial degree of fragmentation and heterogeneity:
  - $\rightarrow$  1,003,222 individual MBS pools
  - $\rightarrow$  Wide range of pool size: median = \$353m. 99th percentile = \$41.2bn (weighted)
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Wide variation in pool age, coupon, prepayment speed.
- Despite fragmentation, liquidity created through small number of "to-be-announced" (TBA) forward contracts

- $1. \ \ {\sf The \ MBS \ universe}$ 
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Institutional setting + time-series and cross-sectional facts
- 2. Security design
- 3. Risks and asset pricing
- 4. Trading
- 5. Economic effects of mortgage securitization
- 6. Open questions and future research

## Key risks and drivers of MBS value

- Duration risk: MBS have fixed coupons & long notional maturity
- Prepayment risk: Borrowers can prepay mortgage at par anytime.
  - $\rightarrow$  Key risk for investor, who is short the prepayment option.
  - $\rightarrow$  Prepayment rises due to refinancing when interest rates fall.
  - $\rightarrow$  Structural & reduced form models (e.g., Agarwal et al. 2013; Stanton 1995).
- Credit risk: Borrower may default key risk for *nonagency* MBS
  - $\rightarrow$  Double trigger of negative equity & income loss (e.g., Ganong & Noel, 2020)
- **Trading & funding liquidity:** Ease of trading and funding are additional risks that affect MBS returns
  - $\rightarrow\,$  E.g., Song and Zhu, 2019; Fusari et al. 2021; Boyarchenko et al. 2019

## Components of MBS yield and drivers of OAS

$$r_{MBS} - r_{tbill} \approx (r_{dur} - r_{t-bill}) + Option Cost + Option-Adjusted Spread (OAS)$$
  
Term Premium Value of prepayment option

- OAS: spread over duration-matched Treasury/swaps, adjusted for prepay option
- Boyarchenko et al. (2019): OAS is reward for non-interest-rate prepayment risk
  - $\rightarrow~$  "Smile" pattern with higher OAS for coupons trading further from par
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Positive OAS for both interest-only and principal-only strips
  - ightarrow OAS also co-moves with other risk premia, suggesting common marginal investors
  - $\rightarrow$  See also Diep et al. (2020); Gabaix et al. (2007)

#### OAS in the time-series and cross-section



Note: Author calculations based on data from J.P. Morgan & Freddie Mac.

- $1. \ \ {\sf The \ MBS \ universe}$ 
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Institutional setting + time-series and cross-sectional facts
- 2. Security design
- 3. Risks and asset pricing
- 4. Trading
- 5. Economic effects of mortgage securitization
- 6. Open questions and future research

# Trading

- $\approx$  90% of agency MBS trading is via to-be-announced or "TBA" forward market
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Key feature: seller does not specify which pools will be delivered at settlement
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Six parameters: agency, coupon, maturity, price, face value, settlement month
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Seller delivers "cheapest-to-deliver" pools satisfying these criteria
  - $\rightarrow$  Huh & Kim (2021); Fusari et al. (2021); Gao et al. (2017); Vickery & Wright (2013)
- TBA mkt coexists with "specified pool" market for trading individual pools
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Higher-value & TBA-ineligible pools trade as spec pools
  - ightarrow Fusari et al (2021) studies asset price implications of this dual market structure
- TBA trading costs much lower than other MBS/ABS (Bessembinder et al., 2013)
  - $\rightarrow\,$  One-way trading costs: 1bp for TBA, 39bp for spec pools, 40bp for CMOs
  - $\rightarrow$  TBA liquidity spills over to spec pool market (Gao et al., 2017)

- $1. \ \ {\sf The \ MBS \ universe}$ 
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Institutional setting + time-series and cross-sectional facts
- 2. Security design
- 3. Risks and asset pricing
- 4. Trading
- 5. Economic effects of mortgage securitization
- 6. Open questions and future research

## Economic effects of mortgage securitization

- Lowers mortgage rates & increases credit supply ...
  - ightarrow Nadauld & Sherlund 2013; Calem et al. 2013; Passmore et al. 2005 etc.
  - $\rightarrow$  Huh Kim (2021): TBA liquidity lowers mortgage rates, increases refinancing
- ... but may create moral hazard, weaken screening and monitoring

ightarrow Keys et al. 2010; Bubb & Kaufman (2014); Choi and Kim 2020 etc.

- MBS convexity hedging affects Tsy volatility (Hanson 2014; Hanson et al. 2021)
- Changes the structure of the financial system:
  - $\rightarrow$  Promotes nonbank lending (Buchak et al. 2020; Gete & Reher 2020).
  - $\rightarrow$  Weakens link b/w lending and bank financial condition (Loutskina & Strahan 2009)
- Affects mortgage design, supports FRMs (Fuster & Vickery 2015)
- Modestly increases home prices & home ownership
  - ightarrow Grundl and Kim (2021); Adelino et al. (2012); Kung (2014)

#### Comments: economic effects of securitization

- Many papers exploit conforming loan limit size cutoff for agency securitization
- Estimates bundle a number of things about conforming mortgages:
  - $\rightarrow~$  Net value of government-backed credit guarantee
  - $\rightarrow~$  Liquidity benefits of agency MBS
  - $\rightarrow$  Other benefits of agency MBS (e.g., preferential regulatory treatment)
- Can partially disentangle: e.g., "superconforming" limit (Huh and Kim, 2021 etc.)
- Various other natural experiments used too e.g.,:
  - $\rightarrow$  FICO cutoffs for securitization (Keys et al. 2010)
  - $\rightarrow$  2007 nonagency "freeze" (Kruger 2018; Calem et al. 2013; Fuster & Vickery 2015)

- $1. \ \ {\sf The \ MBS \ universe}$ 
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Institutional setting + time-series and cross-sectional facts
- 2. Security design
- 3. Risks and asset pricing
- 4. Trading
- 5. Economic effects of mortgage securitization
- 6. Open questions and future research

## Open questions and future research

- 1. Securitization and alternative mortgage designs
  - → Various proposed designs improve macro stability or have other benefits (e.g., "ratchet" mortgage; automatic stabilizer mortgage of Eberly & Krishnamurthy)
  - $\rightarrow\,$  How would such mortgages be funded? Can securitization help?
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Does MBS market "lock in" existing designs (thick mkt for 30 year FRMs)
- 2. What's limiting the recovery of nonagency mortgage securitization?
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Regulation now too tough (risk retention, risk weights...)? Past boom just a bubble?
- 3. What drives investor behavior? (e.g., MBS now half of bank security holdings)
- 4. International mortgage secondary markets: MBS and covered bonds
- 5. Securitization and the environment (e.g., Fannie Mae green MBS)